Board Meeting - June 5, 2014



1. Were the objectives as listed in the agenda accomplished?		
	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	100.0%	16
No	0.0%	0
	answered question	16
	skipped question	0
2. The objectives we did no	t accomplish are:	Response
		Count
		2
	answered question	2
	answered question skipped question	
3. How can future meetings	skipped question	2
3. How can future meetings	skipped question	2
3. How can future meetings	skipped question	2 14 Response
3. How can future meetings	skipped question	2 14 Response Count

4. Did the board book provide you with the information needed to make informed decisions?		
	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	100.0%	15
No	0.0%	0
	Comment/Suggestions	4
	answered question	15
	skipped question	1
3. Do you have any other lee	edback you would like the Executive Committee to consi Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	8.3%	1
No	91.7%	11
	Comments/Suggestions	3
	answered question	12
	skipped question	4
6. How do you feel about the	skipped question e value of this meeting for the time you spent here?	
6. How do you feel about the		
6. How do you feel about the		Response Count
6. How do you feel about the		4 Response

7. Name (Optional) Response Count 10 answered question 10 skipped question 6

Q2. The objectives we did not accomplish are:		
1	Accomplished everything	Jun 9, 2014 11:45 AM
2	I believe the objectives were addressed accordingly	Jun 9, 2014 11:40 AM

Q3. How can future meetings be improved?		
1	Clarify items that have financial decision requirement and those that are strictly policy or volunteer resource related	Jun 10, 2014 3:37 PM
2	A discussion needs to occur with respect to project funding at the outset of a project. The board spent a lot of time on the funding gap of the odour project group.	Jun 10, 2014 3:32 PM
3	The acoustics of a room to meet in is important.	Jun 9, 2014 11:49 AM
4	Noisy room - great venue but hard to hear people	Jun 9, 2014 11:47 AM
5	Change of venue on occasion would be interesting	Jun 9, 2014 11:45 AM
6	Well organized/run - no suggestions	Jun 9, 2014 11:43 AM
7	Well run meeting and stayed on agenda	Jun 9, 2014 11:40 AM
8	Some speakers have very quiet voices and are difficult to understand -room consideration or encouraging more voice projection will help.	Jun 9, 2014 11:38 AM
9	Review action items during last meeting minutes discussion	Jun 9, 2014 11:37 AM
10	The room was noisy with students assembling outside	Jun 9, 2014 11:36 AM
11	Supply podium or speaking desk for speakers notes.	Jun 9, 2014 11:34 AM
12	This was a good meeting	Jun 9, 2014 11:33 AM

Q4. Did the board book provide you with the information needed to make informed decisions?			
1	Possibly send out board material earlier and/or send an email out so Canada Post recipients of the package can wait for it to arrive in the mail.	Jun 9, 2014 11:45 AM	
2	Luckily I downloaded the board book two weeks ago on another computer as could not access it last night.	Jun 9, 2014 11:41 AM	
3	none specific	Jun 9, 2014 11:40 AM	
4	It seemed that the comments regarding the odour management project didn't quite match the board book.	Jun 9, 2014 11:36 AM	

Q5. Do you have any other feedback you would like the Executive Committee to consider?		
1	Recognition for Norm - this needs to be organized!!!	Jun 9, 2014 11:49 AM
2	nothing specific	Jun 9, 2014 11:40 AM
3	We need a general proposal for project funding, so that these requests aren't directed at an emergency board level.	Jun 9, 2014 11:36 AM

Q6. How do you feel about the value of this meeting for the time you spent here?		
1	Good organization. Not many major decisions made. Funding request - could be explicitly formalized in advance.	Jun 10, 2014 9:58 PM
2	High value.	Jun 10, 2014 3:32 PM
3	Good Meeting	Jun 9, 2014 11:49 AM
4	Good	Jun 9, 2014 11:49 AM
5	Great! Robust discussions, terrific work accomplished and upcoming!	Jun 9, 2014 11:47 AM
6	Good discussion	Jun 9, 2014 11:45 AM
7	Good meeting however a bit noisy with the outside traffic. Very informative.	Jun 9, 2014 11:45 AM
8	Good value! Because there were issues e.g. non-consensus EFR, recommendation to Gov't, shortfall in OMT funding, performance measures issues, there was good engagement by board members, which is good/needed.	Jun 9, 2014 11:43 AM
9	Good	Jun 9, 2014 11:41 AM
10	Time well spent. Starting to find more and more value of the meetings as I get more involved.	Jun 9, 2014 11:40 AM
11	Good	Jun 9, 2014 11:38 AM
12	The Telus Sparks Center is very convenient	Jun 9, 2014 11:37 AM
13	with value	Jun 9, 2014 11:36 AM
14	The discussion was some of the most complete and worthwhile conversations I have heard at a CASA board meeting.	Jun 9, 2014 11:33 AM

Q7. Name (Optional)		
1	Peter Noble	Jun 10, 2014 3:32 PM
2	David C Lawlor	Jun 9, 2014 11:49 AM
3	Yolant Leszczynski	Jun 9, 2014 11:49 AM
4	David Spink	Jun 9, 2014 11:43 AM
5	Al Kemmere	Jun 9, 2014 11:41 AM
6	Rob Beleutz	Jun 9, 2014 11:40 AM
7	Al Schulz	Jun 9, 2014 11:38 AM
8	Claude Chamberland	Jun 9, 2014 11:37 AM
9	Peter Noble	Jun 9, 2014 11:36 AM
10	Rich Smith	Jun 9, 2014 11:33 AM