# Final Minutes



# Performance Measures Subcommittee Meeting #31

Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2006

Time: 9:00 am to 2:30 pm Place: CASA Small Boardroom 10035 108 ST NW FLR 10 EDMONTON AB T5J 3E1 CANADA

Ph (780) 427-9793 Fax (780) 422-3127 E-mail casa@casahome.org Web www.casahome.org

### In attendance:

Name Organization

Bob Myrick Alberta Environment Mary Griffiths Pembina Institute

Ted Stoner Canadian Petroleum Products Institute (CPPI)

Donna Tingley CASA Marianne English CASA

# Action Items:

Task	Who	When
<b>29.4</b> Work through the calculation procedures for the indicators for performance measure #1	Marianne	Complete for next subcommittee meeting
30.16 Contact teams with outstanding recommendations with respect to implementation and request status reports on implementation of these recommendations	Donna	for next subcommittee meeting
<b>31.1</b> Have the minutes from the March 20 <sup>th</sup> subcommittee meeting posted on the CASA web site.	Marianne	Asap
31.2 Contact Phil Blagden and Dave Henderson from Environment Canada/Health Canada with respect to a presentation on Environment Canada/Health Canada's work on health/air quality indicators.	Bob	Asap
31.3 Contact Markus Kellerhals with respect to a presentation on the Environment Canada/Health Canada work on health/air quality indicators.	Marianne	Asap
<b>31.4</b> Update the 2006 workplan.	Marianne	for next subcommittee meeting
<b>31.5</b> Check out data available from CAC inventories.	Marianne	for next subcommittee meeting
<b>31.6</b> Check out data available from NPRI	Marianne	for next

inventories.		subcommittee
in ventories.		meeting
<b>31.7</b> Contact the EUB, the CFO team and the	Marianne	for next
F/V team re data on province wide H <sub>2</sub> S		subcommittee
emissions.		meeting
31.8 Check with the CFO team re data on	Marianne	for next
TRS.		subcommittee
		meeting
<b>31.9</b> Check with the EUB re data on solution	Marianne	for next
gas and coal bed methane.		subcommittee
		meeting
31.10 Check with Markus and/or Lawrence re	Marianne	for next
data on VOCs and also check CAC and NPRI		subcommittee
inventories.		meeting
<b>31.11</b> Contact Richard Melick of AENV re	Marianne	for next
greenhouse gas data.		subcommittee
		meeting
<b>31.12</b> Contact the CFO team re data on NH <sub>3</sub>	Marianne	for next
and pathogens/bioaerosols.		subcommittee
		meeting
31.13 Contact the Indoor Air Quality team and	Marianne	for next
ask if they have selected an emission indicator		subcommittee
for their work.		meeting
<b>31.14</b> Contact Gerry Ertel with respect to data	Marianne	for next
on fuel sales.		subcommittee
		meeting
<b>31.15</b> Review the Renewable and Alternative	Marianne	for next
Energy team's presentation to the CASA board		subcommittee
of December 2005 and develop a draft		meeting
indicator.		
<b>31.16</b> Request that the performance measures	Marianne	Asap
subcommittee be put on the agenda for the		
September Airshed Council meeting to obtain		
input on a possible airshed performance		
indicator for CASA.		
<b>31.17</b> Put a review of the stakeholder	Marianne	for next
questionnaire on the agenda of the next		subcommittee
subcommittee meeting.		meeting
31.18 Request that the performance measures	Marianne	for next
subcommittee be given time, at the September		subcommittee
Airshed Council meeting, to discuss the need		meeting
to amend the methodology for calculating the		
CASA air quality indicators for use by the		
airsheds.		

<b>31.19</b> Forward to Bob the request for	Marianne	Asap
assessments for the acidifying emissions		
management recommendations.		
<b>31.20</b> Remind Bob that the subcommittee	Marianne	Tuesday,
meetings now start at 8:30 am.		September 12 <sup>th</sup>
<b>31.21</b> Ensure that the subject line of the email	Marianne	September 6 <sup>th</sup>
for the September 13 <sup>th</sup> subcommittee meeting		
mentions the 8:30 am start time.		

Donna chaired the meeting.

# 1. Administration

## a. Review and revise agenda and meeting objectives

The meeting objectives were reviewed and agreed to, as was the agenda. But the time for adjournment was revised to 2:30 pm.

## b. Review and approve draft minutes from March 20, 2006

The draft minutes from the subcommittee meeting of March 20, 2006 were approved.

Action 31.1: Marianne to have the minutes from the March 20<sup>th</sup> subcommittee meeting posted on the CASA web site.

#### c. Review action items

Task	Who	Status
<b>29.4</b> Work through the calculation procedures	Marianne	carry forward to
for the indicators for performance measure #1		next subcommittee
		meeting
<b>30.1</b> Correct minutes from December 9 <sup>th</sup>	Marianne	Done
subcommittee meeting and have them posted		
on the CASA web site.		
<b>30.2</b> Introduce the concept of having airsheds	Marianne	Done
calculate the CASA Performance Measure #1		
indicators for their regions at the March 31		
meeting of the Operations Steering Committee.		
<b>30.3</b> Review the documentation for calculating	Ted	Done
the indicator for performance measure #4.		
<b>30.4</b> Provide to Marianne a contact for a	Bob	Revised
presentation to the subcommittee of the federal		
government's work on health indicators.		
<b>30.5</b> Revise the 2006 workplan.	Marianne	Done
<b>30.6</b> Revise the draft response to teams and	Marianne	Done
forward the revised draft to subcommittee		
members for review.		

<b>30.7</b> Forward comments on revised draft	Subcommittee members	Done
response to teams to Marianne.		
<b>30.8</b> Forward subcommittee's response to	Donna and Marianne	Done
teams.		
<b>30.9</b> Develop an initial list of substances of	Marianne	Done
concern by checking the implementation		
matrix and reviewing evaluations that have		
been, or are being, evaluated by teams.		
<b>30.10</b> Contact the three teams and request	Marianne and/or Donna	Done
their comments on the proposed new		
performance measure and suggestions as to		
indicators and data sources for this measure.		
<b>30.11</b> Add acronyms for team names in	Marianne	Done
Attachment J.		
<b>30.12</b> Revise Attachment M and list the	Marianne	Done
substantive recommendations.		
<b>30.13</b> Add the specified assessors to the list of	Marianne	Done
substantive recommendations.		
<b>30.14</b> Revise the summary of low-rated	Marianne	Done
recommendations by dropping the		
recommendations where no further CASA		
action is warranted.		
<b>30.15</b> Forward the implementation matrix to	Donna	Done
subcommittee members.		
<b>30.16</b> Contact teams with outstanding	Donna	Carry forward
recommendations with respect to		
implementation and request status reports on		
implementation of these recommendations		
<b>30.17</b> Review the documents describing the	Subcommittee members	Done
procedures for calculating the indicators for		
performance measures #s 2, 3, and 5 and		
forward comments to Marianne by April 4,		
2006.		
<b>30.18</b> Prepare a draft status report for the June	Marianne	Done
CASA board meeting briefing book for review		
at the next subcommittee meeting.		

With respect to item 30.4, it was decided that Bob will pursue federal contacts from Environment Canada and Health Canada and Marianne will approach Marcus Kellerhaus.

Action 31.2: Bob to contact Phil Blagden and Dave Henderson from Health Canada with respect to a presentation on Environment Canada and Health Canada's work on health/air quality indicators.

# Action 31.3: Marianne to contact Markus Kellerhals with respect to a presentation on the Environment Canada and Health Canada work on health/air quality indicators.

#### d. Review workplan for 2006

The revised draft workplan was reviewed and agreed to.

#### Action 31.4: Marianne to update the 2006 workplan.

#### e. Status report for June board meeting

A status report on the performance measures review was drafted, reviewed and approved by subcommittee members and included in the briefing book for the June CASA board meeting. No comments were made at the board meeting on the status report.

#### New Performance Measures – Emission Reductions

#### a. Review draft measure

The following issues were raised during the review of the new performance measure on emission reductions:

- we need to avoid overlap between this measure and measure #1 (improved air quality)
   and we need to carefully explain the difference between this new measure and
   performance measure #1
- o data availability and confidentiality may be a problem with respect to this new measure; the subcommittee could recommend that certain data be obtained
- o in general, routinely collected data is needed, thus inventory-type data will need to be used to calculate indicators for this new measure; but trends derived from inventories are unreliable because methodology is continually improving
- o new knowledge and data arise continuously and the subcommittee must strike a balance between improvements and continuity; this suggests the need for review at intervals and this could be a recommendation the subcommittee might make to the board
- o data limitations may mean that progress cannot be determined from 1994 to the present; it may be necessary to use a more recent base year
- o because we are concerned with "areas of CASA action" do we want to consider specific industries? The suggestion made is that we do province wide and specific sectors
- o oil sands should be one sector we include
- o we may need to hire someone to excerpt the data and calculate the indicators.

No changes were made to the wording of the new measure.

#### b. Review/select substances of concern to the teams

The subcommittee discussed the draft list of suggested substances of concern.

Suggested Substance of Concern	Discussion	Priority*
H <sub>2</sub> S	<ul> <li>is difficult but is measured</li> <li>it is often estimated because fugitive emissions account for a large portion of H<sub>2</sub>S emissions</li> <li>we need to document in a cohesive way what data is available</li> </ul>	Do last
$NO_x(NO_2)$	• NO <sub>x</sub> is included in CAC inventories; forget about NO <sub>2</sub>	Do first
S	• what is this as an emission? we are not aware of S being an emission into air	Drop
SO <sub>x</sub> (SO <sub>2</sub> )	<ul> <li>use SO<sub>2</sub></li> <li>inventories are available; for the province as a whole Environment Canada provides the Criteria Air Contaminants (CAC) inventories which include SO<sub>2</sub>; these CAC inventories contain breakdowns for various sectors; for industry Alberta Environment has data and then there's the NPRI</li> </ul>	Do first
O <sub>3</sub>	<ul> <li>O<sub>3</sub> is not emitted, need to look at O<sub>3</sub> precursors</li> <li>California (California Resources Board) has a list of O<sub>3</sub> precursors</li> </ul>	Drop O <sub>3</sub> but add O <sub>3</sub> precursors; Do last
TRS	<ul> <li>includes H<sub>2</sub>S</li> <li>the CFO may know about data sources and there may be some forestry/pulp and paper data</li> </ul>	Do last
Solution gas	<ul> <li>what is solution gas? is it primarily methane?</li> <li>check EUB reports for data on flared and vented solution gas</li> <li>we are interested in coal bed methane as well</li> </ul>	Do first
Hg	reported to NPRI, data available for total emissions for province and for a number of sectors	Do first
PM	<ul> <li>included in CAC inventories</li> <li>reported in terms of 3 size fractions: total particulates, less than or equal to 2.5 and 10</li> </ul>	Do first
VOCs	<ul> <li>included in CAC inventories</li> <li>NPRI gives data for industries</li> <li>Benzene is often used as a surrogate for carcinogenic VOCs</li> </ul>	Do first
CO <sub>2</sub> equiv.	<ul> <li>Environment Canada produces CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent inventories</li> <li>methods of estimating CO<sub>2</sub>equivalent emissions have changed through the years</li> <li>AENV has data</li> <li>use the Environment Canada national inventories for trends</li> </ul>	Do second
NH <sub>3</sub>	included in CAC inventories	Do first
odour	<ul> <li>the NRCB and the EUB may have some odour complaint data</li> <li>is there an odour complaint inventory over time broken down by industry?</li> </ul>	Do last

pathogens/ bioaerosols	•	the subcommittee is not aware of data available on pathogens and/or bioaerosols.	Do last
	•	the CFO may have some	
indoor air quality substances	•	the indoor air quality team may have selected an emission indicator	Do last
CO	•	included in the CAC inventories	Do last

\*The priority is essentially determined by the relative ease with which the data can be obtained. Data that is available from a government inventory is to be obtained first. Data which is not included in a government inventory but is obtainable in a relatively straight forward manner is to be done secondly. Data that may be more difficult to obtain, or may not exist, is to be worked on last.

- Action 31.5: Marianne to check out CAC inventories including which of the substances of concern on our list are included in the CAC inventories, what breakdowns are available for each substance, what is the original source of data, how was it amalgamated, when the data starts, when and what changes in reporting occurred.
- Action 31.6: Marianne to see what data is available from the CAC and NPRI inventories.
- Action 31.7: Marianne to contact the EUB, the CFO team and the F/V team re data on province wide  $H_2S$  emissions and also to review the WISSA study.
- Action 31.8: Marianne to check with the CFO team (and Ahmed in particular) re data on TRS.
- Action 31.9: Marianne to check with the EUB re data on solution gas and coal bed methane.
- Action 31.10: Marianne to check with Markus and/or Lawrence re data on VOCs and also check CAC and NPRI inventories.
- Action 31.11: Marianne to contact Richard Melick of AENV re greenhouse gas data.
- Action 31.12: Marianne to contact the CFO team re data on  $NH_3$  and pathogens/bioaerosols.
- Action 31.13: Marianne to contact the Indoor Air Quality team and ask if they have selected an emission indicator for their work.

# 3. New Performance Measures – Energy Indicators

a. New performance measure related to emission reductions

The subcommittee reviewed the draft energy indicators performance measure and rewrote it as follows:

Energy use as an indirect measure of air quality in areas of CASA action.

This new performance measure is applicable to the work of three current CASA teams:

- o the Vehicle Emissions team;
- o the Renewable and Alternative Energy team; and
- o the Energy Efficiency and Conservation team.

#### **b.** Review input from teams

The three teams were contacted and requested to provide input with respect to the wording of the draft new performance measure and also to provide suggestions for indicators for the new performance measure. The only response received was from the Vehicle Emissions team which suggested that the amount of gasoline sold could be an indicator.

#### c. Develop indicators

With respect to the work of the Vehicle Emissions team it was suggested that we assume a direct relationship between fuel use and vehicle emissions. Statistic Canada publishes data on fuel sold quarterly. The subcommittee defined the following potential indicators:

- o absolute fuel sold (for gasoline and diesel)
- o fuel sold per capita (for gasoline and diesel)
- o fuel sold per vehicle (gasoline and diesel)

### Action 31.14: Marianne to contact Gerry Ertel with respect to getting the required data.

With respect to the Renewable and Alternative Energy team it was suggested that the target and methodology proposed by the team, and accepted by the board, during the December 2005 CASA board meeting could be converted into an indicator.

# Action 31.15: Marianne to review the Renewable and Alternative Energy team's presentation to the board of December 2005 and develop a draft indicator.

No indicator was defined with respect to the work of the Energy Efficiency and Conservation team; the work of this team is to be reconsidered once they have made further progress.

## 4. Indicators Needing Work

#### a. Review status of measures/indicators needing work

The subcommittee reviewed the list of 12 measures/indicators needing work that was developed during the subcommittee's December 2005 meeting. In particular, the subcommittee discussed items on the list that could be tackled now. The discussion included the following:

#### Item #3: Ambient Monitoring Airshed Indicator

o it was agreed that supporting airsheds is a priority for CASA and that it might be worthwhile having an indicator related to CASA's work on airsheds;

- o it was agreed that an airshed indicator would fall under performance measure #2: Capacity to measure effects
- o possible indicators that were suggested included: "# monitoring stations in airshed zones"; "% Alberta population in airshed zones"; "% province covered by airshed zones"
- o input from the airsheds is needed
- o the Airshed Council, which is to meet in conjunction with the CASA Science Symposium in September, might be an appropriate vehicle for getting input from the airsheds.

Action 31.16: Marianne to request that the Performance Measures Subcommittee be put on the agenda for the September Airshed Council meeting to obtain input on a possible CASA airshed performance indicator.

#### Item #5: Number of Sites indicator

The subcommittee briefly discussed dropping this performance measure #2 indicator but decided to defer a final decision to the next meeting.

#### Item #7: Performance Measure #3 Indicator

The subcommittee agreed that the meaning of the indicator "degree of implementation of substantive recommendation" is not clear. The discussion covered the following:

- o teams are writing better recommendations
- o the first step in clarifying the indicator is to improve the presentation to the board; we can work on this in November

#### Item #8: Revise the Stakeholder questionnaire

The subcommittee decided that:

- the subcommittee is to review the questionnaire with the help of Sharon and flag desired changes
- o depending on the nature of the desired changes, the subcommittee may need to hire a specialist to effect the desired changes
- o Alberta Environment has agreed to provide funds for the specialist.

Action 31.17: Marianne to put a review of the stakeholder questionnaire on the agenda for the next subcommittee meeting.

# 5. Airshed Zones' Use of Air Quality Indicators

Subcommittee members reviewed the responses from the airshed zones to Donna's letter to them re their use of the CASA performance measure #1 indicators. All but one of the airsheds responded; Wood Buffalo was the lone exception. The responses were generally favourable, but a few respondents mentioned the following problems:

- o some of the monitoring stations have not been in operation for 5 years and excluding these stations would exclude much of the data
- o some monitoring sites have passive rather than continuous monitors
- o airshed zones do not have data starting from 1994.

As well, Bob pointed out that Alberta Environment plans to include the capability to produce reports of the performance measure #1 indicators in the CASA data warehouse. It was also suggested that it would be desirable to report the values of the indicators for each airshed in the CASA annual report.

Again, the September meeting of the Airshed Council would be an appropriate forum for discussing these issues.

Action 31.18:Marianne to request that the Performance Measures Subcommittee be allocated time at the September Airshed Council meeting to discuss the need to amend the CASA methodology for calculating air quality performance measures for airshed use.

## 6. Status Reports

#### a. Response to teams

Marianne reported that the subcommittee's response to teams, re the input on performance measures provided by the teams, was forwarded to all active teams who responded to the request for input, all individuals who responded on behalf of disbanded teams, and all currently active teams who did not respond to the request for input.

#### b. Performance Measure #3

The substantive recommendations approved by the CASA board in 2002 came from the Flaring/Venting Project Team, the Acidifying Emissions Management Team, and the Pollution Prevention/Continuous Improvement Project team:

- Donna reported that requests for assessments of implementation were forwarded to appropriate individuals involved in the implementation of the pollution prevention/continuous improvement recommendations. Most of these individuals have provided assessments.
- Marianne reported that she forwarded requests for assessment of implementation, of the acidifying emissions management implementation team's recommendations, to Kim Eastlick of the EUB and to Randy Dobko and Lawrence Cheng from Alberta Environment, but has not yet received a response. It was agreed that Bob would provide assessments on behalf of Alberta Environment.
- Marianne also reported that she forwarded requests for assessments of the flaring/venting project team recommendations to Bettina, and Bettina promised a response in October.

Action 31.19: Marianne to forward to Bob the request for assessments for the acidifying emissions management recommendations.

#### c. Documenting procedures for calculating indicators

Marianne asked if there were any final comments/suggestions on the documents previously reviewed and revised. Subcommittee members stated that they had no further comments. The documents are now final.

# 7. Next Meeting

The next meeting of the subcommittee will take place on Wednesday, September 13, 2006 from 8:30 am to 2:30 pm at CASA. This meeting will be followed by another meeting on Thursday, October 19, 2006 from 8:30 am to 2:30 pm.

Action 31.20: Marianne to remind Bob on Tuesday, September 12<sup>th</sup> that the September 13<sup>th</sup> meeting of the performance measures subcommittee starts at 8:30 am.

Action 31.21: Marianne to ensure that the subject line of the email providing the agenda and materials for the September 13<sup>th</sup> meeting mentions the 8:30 am start.