Final Minutes



Performance Measures Subcommittee Meeting #30

10035 108 ST NW FLR 10 EDMONTON AB T5J 3E1 CANADA

Date: Monday, March 20, 2006 Time: 9:00 am to 3:15 pm Place: CASA Small Boardroom Ph (780) 427-9793 Fax (780) 422-3127 E-mail casa@casahome.org Web www.casahome.org

In attendance:

Name Organization

Bob Myrick Alberta Environment Mary Griffiths Pembina Institute

Ted Stoner Canadian Petroleum Products Institute (CPPI)

Donna Tingley CASA Marianne English CASA

Action Items:

Task	Who	When
29.4 Work through the calculation procedures for the indicators for performance measure #1	Marianne	Complete for next subcommittee meeting
30.1 Correct minutes from December 9 th subcommittee meeting and have them posted on the CASA web site.	Marianne	Asap
30.2 Introduce the concept of having airsheds calculate the CASA Performance Measure #1 indicators for their regions at the March 31 meeting of the Operations Steering Committee.	Marianne	March 31
30.3 Review the documentation for calculating the indicator for performance measure #4.	Ted	for next subcommittee meeting
30.4 Provide to Marianne a contact for a presentation to the subcommittee of the federal government's work on health indicators.	Bob	Asap
30.5 Revise the 2006 workplan.	Marianne	for next subcommittee meeting
30.6 Revise the draft response to teams and forward the revised draft to subcommittee members for review.	Marianne	Asap
30.7 Forward comments on revised draft response to teams to Marianne.	Subcommittee members	Asap
30.8 Forward subcommittee's response to teams.	Donna and Marianne	Asap

30.9 Develop an initial list of substances of	Marianne	for next
concern by checking the implementation		subcommittee
matrix and reviewing evaluations that have		meeting
been, or are being, evaluated by teams.		
30.10 Contact the three teams and request	Marianne and/or Donna	for next
their comments on the proposed new		subcommittee
performance measure and suggestions as to		meeting
indicators and data sources for this measure.		
30.11 Add acronyms for team names in	Marianne	for next
Attachment J.		subcommittee
		meeting
30.12 Revise Attachment M and list the	Marianne	Asap
substantive recommendations.		
30.13 Add the specified assessors to the list of	Marianne	Asap
substantive recommendations.		
30.14 Revise the summary of low-rated	Marianne	Asap
recommendations by dropping the		1
recommendations where no further CASA		
action is warranted.		
30.15 Forward the implementation matrix to	Donna	for next
subcommittee members.		subcommittee
		meeting
30.16 Contact teams with outstanding	Donna	for next
recommendations with respect to		subcommittee
implementation and request status reports on		meeting
implementation of these recommendations		
30.17 Review the documents describing the	Subcommittee members	April 4, 2006
procedures for calculating the indicators for		,
performance measures #s 2, 3, and 5 and		
forward comments to Marianne by April 4,		
2006.		
30.18 Prepare a draft status report for the June	Marianne	for next
CASA board meeting briefing book for review		subcommittee
at the next subcommittee meeting.		meeting

Donna chaired the meeting.

1. Administration

a. Review and revise agenda and meeting objectives

Donna introduced Ted Stoner, the subcommittee's new industry representative. The meting objectives were reviewed and agreed to, as was the agenda. But the time for adjournment was revised to 3:15 pm.

b. Review and approve draft minutes from December 9, 2005

The draft minutes from the subcommittee meeting of December 9, 2005 were approved with the following corrections:

- page 4, line 18 "Environment Canada" should be "Alberta Environment"
- page 5, lines 10, 11 delete the phrase "because of the board's interest in implementation"
- page 5, line 14 change "planned" to "proposed"
- page 5 end questions with question marks.

Action 30.1: Marianne to correct the minutes from the December 9th subcommittee meeting and have them posted on the CASA web site.

c. Review action items

Who	When
Marianne	Introduce the topic
	at the Operations
	Steering Committee
	meeting on March
	31
Bob	Drop
Marianne	Done
Sharon	Done
Marianne	Done
Marianne	Complete for next
	meeting
Marianne	Done
Marianne	Done
Marianne	Done
Marianne	Drop
Marianne	Done
	Marianne Marianne Marianne Marianne Marianne Marianne Marianne Marianne Marianne Marianne

to 3, inclusive, with respect to implementation,		
into 1 document		
29.10 Contact Health Canada and find out	Marianne	Drop
when they will be ready to present their results		
to the subcommittee		
29.11 Approach Ray Wong with respect to	Donna	Done
funding for expertise required to conduct the		
stakeholder survey		
29.12 Prepare a sample response to the teams	Marianne	Done
29.13 Revise the 2006 workplan	Marianne	Done
29.14 Write to industry board members and	Donna	Done
request an industry representative for the		
subcommittee		

With respect to action item #20.7, Donna suggested that the Airshed boards and managers meeting may not take place for some time and an alternate rout should be considered. The alternative is to introduce the topic at the Operations Steering Committee, of which the airsheds are members, which meets next on March 31.

Action 30.2: Marianne to introduce the concept of having the airsheds calculate the CASA Performance Measure #1 indicators for their regions at the March 31 meeting of the Operations Steering Committee.

With respect to action item #26.5, Bob reported that this is difficult. Industry are required to be up 90% or the time. But some are not up 90% of the time and it is possible that they may be up less than 75% of the time. Currently, it is not possible to identify those that are up less than 75% of the time.

With respect to action item #29.4, Marianne reported that she has completed step 1 of the procedure but still needs to work through steps 2 to 4.

With respect to action item #29.8, Marianne reported that she contacted Eric, via email and telephone, a number of times but received no reply. Ted offered to review the documentation in place of Eric.

Action 30.3: Ted to review the documentation for calculating the indicator for performance measure #4.

With respect to action item #29.10, Marianne reported that she contacted Jagtar Sandhu numerous times, via email and telephone, but received no response. Bob suggested that he can provide an Environment Canada contact who is involved in the work on health indicators with Health Canada and so the subcommittee decided to drop this action item.

Action 30.4: Bob to provide to Marianne a contact for a presentation to the subcommittee of the federal government's work on health indicators.

With respect to action item #29.11, Donna reported that Ray Wong promised to request \$ 10,000 for the subcommittee.

d. Review workplan for 2006

The draft workplan was approved except that the item "prepare status report to board on response to teams and new performance measures" was moved to May from June.

Action 30.5: Marianne to revise the 2006 workplan.

e. Review performance measure #5 indicators for 2005

The subcommittee reviewed these indicators in February and there were no further comments on them.

2. Response to Teams

- a. Review draft response
 - **the letter** delete the first bullet and, in the last sentence, replace the phrase "these teams will be contacted" with "your team will be contacted as appropriate"
 - **the subcommittee's response to team comments** spell out acronyms and fill in missing comments as developed during the meeting.

Action 30.6: Marianne to revise the draft response to teams and forward the revised draft to subcommittee members for review.

Action 30.7: Subcommittee members to forward comments on revised draft response to teams to Marianne as soon as possible.

Action 30.8: Donna and Marianne to forward subcommittee's response to teams as soon as possible.

3. Consider Developing New Performance Measures

a. New performance measure related to emission reductions

The subcommittee developed the draft new performance measure:

Reduced emissions of substances of concern in areas of CASA action.

This performance measure would be either #6 or #1b (with the current performance measure #1 as #1a) in the list of CASA performance measures.

Note that:

- "Reduced" can be positive or negative although one would want it to be positive;
- "Emission" are planned or unplanned releases to the air and include all sources industrial, natural, biogenic and can be in absolute units or intensities;
- "Substances of concern" are measurable;
- "Areas of CASA action" are derived from recommendations approved by the board, although substances related to future concerns may also be considered. The implementation matrix being developed for the board may help in identifying substances of concern. Some suggested substances of concern are: PM, O₃, NOx, H₂S, mercury, Sox, GHGs, SO₂, ammonia, methane;
- The time frame needs to be considered and data sources need to be identified;
- We should check into the methodology the team has developed, and data sources the teams have used, in cases where emission reductions have been, or are being, evaluated by a team;
- With respect to what airsheds are doing, the subcommittee decided that we will not include substances of concern to airsheds only. We will focus on teams that have reported to the board and the substances included in their recommendations.

Action 30.9: Marianne to develop an initial list of substances of concern by checking the implementation matrix and reviewing evaluations that have been, or are being, evaluated by teams.

b. New performance measure related to consumption

For CASA action related to energy efficiency/conservation and transportation demand management, the subcommittee developed the draft new performance measure:

Energy indicators as an indirect measure of improved air quality in areas of CASA action.

This performance measure is expected to be relevant to the Renewable and Alternatives team, the Energy Efficiency and Conservation team and the Vehicle Emissions team.

Action 30.10: Marianne and/or Donna to contact the three teams and request their comments on the proposed new performance measure and suggestions as to indicators and data sources for this measure.

c. New performance measure on socio-economics

Because industry is at the table when recommendations to the board are developed, socioeconomic factors are de facto considered, although no explicit cost-benefit analysis is usually carried out. The subcommittee was not able to propose a new performance measure related to socioeconomic issues but believes this should be considered periodically.

4. Performance Measure #3 – 2002 Substantive Recommendations

a. Review process for selecting substantive recommendations

Donna briefly explained the process.

b. Review, and categorize, decisions requested from, and approved by, the board in 2002

Attachment J (Performance Measure #3 – Decisions Requested and Approved by the board in 2002) was reviewed and endorsed by the subcommittee. It was suggested that acronyms for the teams be included in the table.

Action 30.11: Marianne to add acronyms for team names in Attachment J.

c. Review recommendation associated with substantive board decisions from 2002 and select substantive recommendations

The subcommittee reviewed Attachments K (Performance Measure #3 – Recommendations Associated with Substantive Board Decisions from 2002), L (the Selection Criteria) and M (Performance Measure #3 – Selecting 2002 Substantive Recommendations) and agreed to the following changes to the proposed selected substantive recommendations from 2002 (Attachment M):

- recommendation #42 is substantive;
- recommendation #44 is substantive;
- recommendation #45(a) is not substantive;

Action 30.12: Marianne to revise Attachment M and list the substantive recommendations.

d. Identify assessors for 2002 substantive recommendations

The subcommittee reviewed Attachment N (Identifying Assessors) and agreed on the following assessors for the substantive recommendations from 2002:

- Recommendations #s 1 to 39 (from the Flaring and Venting project team) are to be assessed by the Flaring and Venting Project Team, which still exists, but is in abeyance;
- Recommendations #s 40 to 45 (from the Acidifying Emissions Management Implementation Team) are to be assessed by the identified implementers;
- Recommendations #s 46 to 65 (from the Pollution Prevention/Continuous Improvement Project Team) are to be assessed by the implementers who have been identified by the CASA Secretariat.

Action 30.13: Marianne to add the specified assessors to the list of substantive recommendations.

e. Review summary document on low-rated recommendations

The subcommittee reviewed Attachment O (Performance Measure #3 – Low-Rated Recommendations) and agreed that no further action is required on the Vehicle Emissions Teams' recommendation related to standards for converting vehicles to run on alternative fuels and that the Vehicle Emissions Team's recommendation related to another Rover project is to happen this fall (if sufficient funds are raised). With respect to all the other low-rated recommendations it was agreed that:

 teams are to be asked to report to the board on the implementation status of the recommendations;

- if any of the recommendations are not being implemented, report the reason to the board and obtain closure on the recommendation
- the summary of low-rated recommendations can be provided to the teams to help them in preparing their report to the board;
- recommendations that are still being acted on can be handed off to an implementation team or the implementation matrix for tracking from now on

Action 30.14: Marianne to revise the summary of low-rated recommendations by dropping the recommendations where no further CASA action is required.

Action 30.15: Donna to forward the implementation matrix to subcommittee members.

Action 30.16: Donna to contact teams with outstanding recommendations with respect to implementation and request status report on the implementation of these recommendations.

5. Documenting Procedures for Calculating Indicators

Subcommittee members are to review the three draft documents and forward comments to Marianne.

Action 30.17: Subcommittee members to review the documents describing the procedures for calculating the indicators for performance measures #s 2, 3, and 4 and forward comments to Marianne by April 4, 2006.

6. Human Health Monitoring Indicator

Marianne reported that she has been unable to obtain a response from Jagtar Sandhu (Health Canada) about when Health Canada could make a presentation to the subcommittee on their work related to health indicators. Bob suggested that the work was being done by Environment Canada in collaboration with Health Canada; Bob can possibly provide an Environment Canada contact. It was agreed that Randy Angle and Alex MacKenzie would also be invited to make presentations to the subcommittee once we know when a federal speaker might be available.

7. Next Meeting

The next meeting of the subcommittee will take place on Friday, May 12, 2006 at CASA from 9 am to 3:30 pm.

Action 30.18: Marianne to prepare a draft status report for the June CASA board meeting briefing book for review at the next subcommittee meeting.