

# Minutes



## *Flaring and Venting Project Team Meeting #55*

Date: Friday, July 30, 2010  
Time: 9:00 am – 12:00 pm  
Place: Tele-Conference

### **In attendance:**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Stakeholder group</b>
Krista Phillips	CAPP
Andrew Higgins	CAPP/CNRL
Wayne Hillier	Husky Energy
John Squarek	Small Explorers and Producers Association of Canada
Randy Dobko	Alberta Environment
Jim Spangelo	Alberta Energy Resources Conservation Board
James Vaughan	Alberta Energy Resources Conservation Board
Anna Maslowski	Alberta Energy
Robyn Jacobsen	CASA Secretariat

### **With regrets:**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Stakeholder group</b>
Chris Severson-Baker	Pembina Institute
Bob Barss	Alberta Association of Municipal Districts and Counties
Jolene Shannon	Pembina Agricultural Protection Association
Randal McNeill	Husky Energy
Karina Thomas	Alberta Health and Wellness
Ian Peace	RAPID

The meeting convened at 9:05 am. There was no NGO representative and therefore quorum was not achieved. The team understood that they would not be able to make an decisions without quorum.

### **Action Items**

<b>Action Item</b>	<b>Who</b>	<b>Due</b>
59.1: Randy to provide a link to the on emissions of heavy metals in flare stack emissions was carried out as research completed by Al Chambers of the ARC.	Randy	Aug 20
59.2: Wayne has some comments on Section 1.2.2 (Trends in Flaring and Venting). He will work with Jim and James to resolve these issues. Changes will be forwarded to Robyn.	James, Jim, Wayne	Sept 10
59.3: In the discussion on Figure 5 (Section 1.2.2, p. 15) the team wants some discussion on why the GOR curve is important.	Jim, James	Sept 10
59.4: Wayne will verify that the information on the Husky presentation in Section 3.1 (Size Threshold for Economic Analysis) is correct.	Wayne	Aug 20

59.5: In Section 3.2 (Net Present Value), industry will verify their comments on the Solution Gas Conservation Study.	Andrew (co-chair)	Aug 20
59.6: Wayne will provide his “evaluation of technology options” to be included in the Appendix.	Wayne	Aug 20

### **Review of Draft Team Report**

The team discussed draft 4 of their report. Comments on the content of the report were recorded directly in the report. Other comments included:

- The ERCB said that they did not agree with the recommendation for the team to be disbanded. They would like to suggest the team go on hiatus until (possibly) 2013. Their rationale is that they are committing to do work in the report and they don’t want to initiate this work unless they can be sure that the team will reconvene. Other team members noted that if the team is not disbanding, and only going on hiatus, there could potentially be some changes to other recommendations.