

**1. Do you believe that CASA Board membership should change as often as is necessary to ensure that issues before the Board are addressed by: a. those organizations most able to contribute and/or b. those organizations most directly affected?**

	Response Count
	8
answered question	8
skipped question	0

**2. Are you satisfied with the existing size of the Board or do you believe it should change in some way?**

	Response Count
	7
answered question	7
skipped question	1

**3. Would you be in favour of the Board convening a small Working Group to: a. Identify the range of interests and organizations that are associated with upcoming CASA discussions? b. Assess the alignment of the current Board membership with anticipated issues, identifying gaps in representation? c. Recommend specific changes for Board approval?**

	Response Count
	8
answered question	8
skipped question	0

**Q1. Do you believe that CASA Board membership should change as often as is necessary to ensure that issues before the Board are addressed by:**

- a. those organizations most able to contribute and/or  
b. those organizations most directly affected?**

1	b. My belief is that coverage is important and perhaps each caucus (eg. Industry) could select a number of constituents to sit on the Board.	Mar 30, 2012 10:12 AM
2	Yes the Board membership should be reviewed and changed as necessary.	Mar 30, 2012 10:10 AM
3	The CASA Board is open to new membership at any time. We should not have to solicit. They can apply if interested.	Mar 30, 2012 10:08 AM
4	a. Although a & b should go hand in hand.	Mar 30, 2012 10:08 AM
5	You need to engage membership from Alberta Agriculture as they are an important component of the Alberta Landscape.	Mar 30, 2012 10:02 AM
6	b.	Mar 30, 2012 9:56 AM
7	No	Mar 30, 2012 9:46 AM
8	Both	Mar 30, 2012 9:44 AM

**Q2. Are you satisfied with the existing size of the Board or do you believe it should change in some way?**

1	It's getting quite large - industry groups are many. Is public (NGO) properly represented?	Mar 30, 2012 10:12 AM
2	I am satisfied with the size and diversity of the Board	Mar 30, 2012 10:10 AM
3	Fine as is except for possible Airshed membership (council)	Mar 30, 2012 10:08 AM
4	would not expand beyond current size.	Mar 30, 2012 10:08 AM
5	Size is good. It seems the structure allows for representatives from all sectors to be represented - justifies the value of "alternates"	Mar 30, 2012 10:02 AM
6	Yes- For this case the more stakeholders, better/more insights & perspectives. Still feel to expand First Nation Reps.	Mar 30, 2012 9:46 AM
7	Add consumer advocacy group (cover consumer behaviour) & Non point source rep(s)?	Mar 30, 2012 9:44 AM

**Q3. Would you be in favour of the Board convening a small Working Group to:**

- a. Identify the range of interests and organizations that are associated with upcoming CASA discussions?**  
**b. Assess the alignment of the current Board membership with anticipated issues, identifying gaps in representation?...**

1	Yes to all above	Mar 30, 2012 10:12 AM
2	Yes I would support the idea of a small working group reviewing Board membership and making recommendations	Mar 30, 2012 10:10 AM
3	Not necessary	Mar 30, 2012 10:08 AM
4	c. Might consider a second tier for organizations to be involved in CASA. Rather than being in the board consider a category of "consultation agencies" specific to issues working groups created from time to time.	Mar 30, 2012 10:08 AM
5	A Working Group convene for (a) would help to flush out "issues" of concern. For (b) to align Board membership would need to come back to the Board as there may need to be a change in Board structure. As for (c) - Their changes would keep the Board "current"	Mar 30, 2012 10:02 AM
6	a,b,c.	Mar 30, 2012 9:56 AM
7	Always a need to assess & evaluate. If need is there, by all means.	Mar 30, 2012 9:46 AM
8	Definitely!	Mar 30, 2012 9:44 AM

**Q4. Name (optional)**

1	Y. Leszczynski	Mar 30, 2012 10:12 AM
2	John Squarek	Mar 30, 2012 10:08 AM
3	Don Szarko	Mar 30, 2012 10:08 AM