Board Meeting - March 27, 2013



1. Were the objectives as listed in the agenda accomplished?		
Response Percent		Response Count
Yes	100.0%	10
No	0.0%	0
	answered question	10
	skipped question	0
2. The objectives we did not accomplish are:		
		Response Count
		Count
		1
	answered question	
	answered question skipped question	1
3. How can future meetings	skipped question	1
3. How can future meetings	skipped question	1
3. How can future meetings	skipped question	1 1 9 Response
3. How can future meetings	skipped question	1 9 Response

4. Did the board book provide you with the information needed to make informed decisions?		
	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	100.0%	9
No	0.0%	0
	Comment/Suggestions	3
answered question		9
	skipped question	1
5. Do you have any other feedback you would like the Executive Committee to considerable Response		
Yes	42.9%	3
Yes	57.1%	
		4
	57.1%	3
	57.1% Comments/Suggestions	3
No	57.1% Comments/Suggestions answered question	3
No	57.1% Comments/Suggestions answered question skipped question	3
No	57.1% Comments/Suggestions answered question skipped question	Response Count
No	57.1% Comments/Suggestions answered question skipped question	3 4 3 7 3 Response Count 10

7. Name (Optional) Response Count 6 answered question 6 skipped question 4

Q2. The objectives we did not accomplish are:		
1	All objectives were met.	Mar 28, 2013 1:50 PM

Q3. How can future meetings be improved?		
1	Suggest that the evaluation forms be interactive and available on the website, either word or a pdf that entries can be made in, saved and forwarded.	Apr 3, 2013 11:19 AM
2	Continue on with the same.	Mar 28, 2013 1:50 PM
3	Climate control - room was quite chilly, or warn us so we can wear sweaters.	Mar 28, 2013 1:49 PM
4	You may consider shortening the time for lunch on an ongoing basis - when lunch is provided, 1hr is more time than required.	Mar 28, 2013 11:46 AM
5	I missed the airshed zones presentations, however time was limited.	Mar 28, 2013 11:34 AM

Q4. Did the board book provide you with the information needed to make informed decisions?		
1	The materials were quite well done and well organized.	Apr 3, 2013 11:19 AM
2	The PowerPoint supplement is very helpful and should be sent out in advance.	Mar 28, 2013 11:42 AM
3	Attachments help clarify topics for discussion.	Mar 28, 2013 11:34 AM

Q5. Do you have any other feedback you would like the Executive Committee to consider?

I was generally satisfied with the directions provided by the board, one area that I think needs some review and improvement is incorporating a positive feedback loop on results. The board and project team members donate a lot of time and energy and deserve some feedback as to improvements in ambient air quality, or other suitable metrics, to assess the effectiveness of project teams and reports over an appropriate time lag. Basing performance measurement strictly on internal parameters is misleading and a waste of participants time. It is not always easy to identify metrics that are measurable but usually if numeric results are not available performance based metrics can be developed for example if a sector did not have a BMP in place as recommended by a project team, a relevant metric may be the number of BMP's initiated as a result of a report, viewed against complaints frequency. In this case the government pre-meeting caucus was well run and included inter-deparmental comments on the agenda from meetings held the week previous.

Apr 3, 2013 11:19 AM

2 Great facilitation and synopsis creation.

3

Mar 28, 2013 1:49 PM

Gaps were identified that need to be addressed.

Mar 28, 2013 11:34 AM

Q6. Ho	w do you feel about the value of this meeting for the time you spent here?	
1	My sense is that CASA is developing some focus and energy that was missing, likely related to cleaning out the parking lot and moving on in 2012. Having cash in the bank also provides some inspiration. This meeting offered significantly more value to me than the December one.	Apr 3, 2013 11:19 AM
2	It was of great value.	Mar 28, 2013 1:50 PM
3	Very good!	Mar 28, 2013 1:50 PM
4	Great.	Mar 28, 2013 1:49 PM
5	High value; productive, well-led discussions.	Mar 28, 2013 11:46 AM
6	Great.	Mar 28, 2013 11:44 AM
7	Good value - a little more time to discuss/explore topics that were raised could have been nice, but not sure how best to all for this. Possibly giving more time on agenda for some topics so we don't feel quite so rushed.	Mar 28, 2013 11:44 AM
8	Overall well done & thanks to the CASA staff for all their contributions.	Mar 28, 2013 11:42 AM
9	Good.	Mar 28, 2013 11:42 AM
10	Very interesting discussion. Unfortunate more Board members weren't in attendance	Mar 28, 2013 11:34 AM

Q7. Name (Optional)		
1	Tim Whitford	Apr 3, 2013 11:19 AM
2	Bill Calder	Mar 28, 2013 11:46 AM
3	Yolanta Leszczynski	Mar 28, 2013 11:44 AM
4	David Spink	Mar 28, 2013 11:44 AM
5	Peter Darbyshire	Mar 28, 2013 11:42 AM
6	Brian Ahearn	Mar 28, 2013 11:42 AM